1	Hon. Richard A. Jones	
2		
3		
4	AD HELD CELEBRATE COLUMN FOR THE	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
6	AT SEATTLE	
7	T-MOBILE USA, INC.,	
8	Plaintiff,	Cause No. C14-1351RAJ
9	V.	HIDY OFFICE ON AND THE
10	HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,	JURY QUESTION AND THE COURT'S RESPONSE
11	Defendant.	
12		
13		
14	Question from the Jury: As a matter of clarity regarding july instructions,	
15	Instruction #29, "Actual Damages", Flaragraph #1, Line 1	
16	says that "any and all of the four contracts" must be breached	
17		
18	in order for consideration of "actual damages", Apparently in	
19	conflict, Instruction #15 and Question #6 (Verdict Form) specify	
20	that NDA and Clean Room Letter and (Handset and Accessory	
21	Supply Agreement" and low Metro PCS Supply agreement) must	
22	he had be other words I would be	
23	be breached. In other words, a different standard, which applies?	
24	Foreperson — Please	
25	clarity.	
26	Date and Time: 5/16/17, 1:45 pm	

	TI.	
1	The Court's Response:	
2	The pury may sward	
3		
4	Ectual damages for the	
5	Drezel 9 Jay Contract.	
6	(And)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	DATED this <u>llot</u> day of May, 2017, at 2:40	
15	a.m/p.m	
16		
17	Ship X	
18	HON. RICHARD A. JONES	
19	United States District Ludge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		